1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT TACOMA 8 Case No.: 3:21-cv-5157 9 COMPLAINT; 10 VALERIE TEETER, FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES 11 ACT (15 U.S.C. § 1692a, et seq.); Plaintiff, 12 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL VS. 13 FOSTER AND MONROE, LLC, 14 Defendant. 15 16 I. INTRODUCTION 17 18 1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendant's 19 violations of the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (hereinafter 20 "FDCPA"). 21 II. JURISDICTION 22 2. Plaintiff's claim for violations of the FDCPA arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 23 24 and therefore involves a "federal question" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 25 III. PARTIES 26 3. Plaintiff, Valerie Teeter ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in Kitsap County, 27 Washington. 28 Trigsted Law Group, P.C. **COMPLAINT** Case No. 3:21-cv-5157 5200 SW Meadows Rd, Ste 150 Lake Oswego, OR 97035 (888) 247-4126 ext. 1

Defendant, Foster and Monroe, LLC ("Defendant"), is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting debts by use of the mails and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged due another.
 IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

 Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. All activities of Defendant set out herein were undertaken in connection with the collection of a "debt," as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 8. Within the last year, Defendant took multiple actions in an attempt to collect a debt from Plaintiff. Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA in multiple ways, including the following.
- 9. Using false representations or deceptive practices in connection with collection of a debt, including threatening to report Plaintiff's husband to a bad check reporting system even though he was completely unrelated to the transaction at issue (§ 1692e(10)).
- 10. Overshadowing the disclosures required by 15 USC 1692g(a), including making threats to report Plaintiff and her husband to a bad check reporting system within the thirty-day dispute period (15 USC 1692g(b)).
 - 11. Falsely representing that Defendant is a law firm (§ 1692e(3)).
- 12. Threatening to take actions that cannot legally be taken, including threatening to take actions to collect a debt from Plaintiff where Defendant was not licensed to collect debts in Washington State (15 USC 1692e(5)).
- 13. Failing to notify Plaintiff during each collection contact that the communication was from a debt collector, including failing to do so in an email (§ 1692e(11)).
 - 14. Where Defendant had not yet made an attempt to contact Plaintiff's counsel or had

COMPLAINT Case No. 3:21-cv-5157 Trigsted Law Group, P.C. 5200 SW Meadows Rd, Ste 150 Lake Oswego, OR 97035 (888) 247-4126 ext. 1

2	P1
3	Pl
4	1
5	re
6	
7	su
8	se
9	
10	
11	Pl
12	di
13	

•	•	
1	5	

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

not given Plaintiff's counsel sufficient time to respond to the initial attempt to communicate with aintiff's counsel, and where Plaintiff's counsel had not given Defendant permission to contact aintiff directly, communicating with Plaintiff directly after learning that Plaintiff is being presented by counsel ($\S 1692c(a)(2)$).

- 15. As a result of the aforementioned violations, Plaintiff suffered and continues to affer injuries to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and vere emotional distress.
- 16. Defendant intended to cause, by means of the actions detailed above, injuries to aintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and severe emotional stress.
- 17. Defendant's actions, detailed above, were undertaken with extraordinary disregard of, or indifference to, known or highly probable risks to purported debtors.
- 18. To the extent Defendant's actions, detailed in paragraphs above, were carried out by an employee of Defendant, that employee was acting within the scope of his or her employment.

COUNT I: VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 19. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.
- 20. The preceding paragraphs state a prima facie case for Plaintiff and against Defendant for violations of the FDCPA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;
- В. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k;

COMPLAINT Case No. 3:21-cv-5157 Trigsted Law Group, P.C. 5200 SW Meadows Rd, Ste 150 Lake Oswego, OR 97035 (888) 247-4126 ext. 1

1	C.	Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k;			
2	D.	Costs, disbursements and reasonable attorney's fees for all successful claims, and			
3	any unsuccessful claims arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as the successful claims				
4	pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and,				
5					
6	E.	For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.			
7					
8 9					
10					
11					
12		PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY			
13					
14					
15		Dated this 4 rd day of March, 2021			
16					
17					
18		By: <u>s/Joshua Trigsted</u> Joshua Trigsted, WSBA#42917 Attorney for Plaintiff			
19		Attorney for Plaintiff			
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	COMPLAINT	Trigsted Law Group, P.C.			
	Case No. 3:21-c				

4